Federal jurisdiction had existed; and

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

May 21, 2024 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

for the

Southern District of Texas

United States of America
v.
)
Case No. 4:24-cr-00253

Brian Dorsey
Defendant
)

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Up	on	the
----	----	-----

☑ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or	
\square Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption
that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community
because the following conditions have been met:
\square (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
☐ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
\Box (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
□ (c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-
971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
□ (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed or a combination of such offenses; or
☐ (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
☐ (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to

□ (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the

defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and

☐ (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.
 ■ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses: □ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); □ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; □ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; □ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
□ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☑ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
⊠ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
⊠ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
 ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☑ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☐ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse ☐ Lack of stable employment ☐ Lack of stable residence

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties
☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
☐ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
\boxtimes Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents
☐ Background information unknown or unverified
☐ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Defendant Brian Dorsey's detention would be warranted even assuming that he had presented sufficient evidence to rebut the statutory presumption in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)(B) favoring his detention pending trial. The Government presented significant evidence that Defendant, together with others, committed an armed robbery of a ride-share driver, not just on June 7, 2023—as charged in the federal indictment—but also a subsequent robbery of a different driver on June 9, 2023. Both drivers, who were held hostage at gunpoint, identified Defendant as one of the perpetrators. Tower data also placed Defendant either in the area or at the scene of the June 7 robbery. And camera footage showed Defendant withdrawing money from a victim's account during the June 9 incident. The underlying conduct clearly demonstrates the serious risk of danger posed by Defendant's release.

Equally troubling is Defendant's pattern of disregarding his conditions of release by committing new and serious offenses. In March 2022, Defendant was charged with a felony offense of unauthorized use of a motor vehicle and released on bond. This offense involved an aggravated robbery of a DoorDash delivery driver. Only a few months later, however, Defendant was arrested in July 2022 and charged with multiple offenses: burglary of a motor vehicle, evading arrest, tampering with evidence, and unlawful carrying of a firearm. The facts reflect that Defendant had stolen the firearm and fled from law enforcement, first by vehicle, and then on foot. He attempted to dispose of the firearm by throwing it out of a moving car. Defendant was given one year deferred adjudication for the burglary charge; the other three charges were dismissed. Yet during his term of deferred adjudication—and while still on bond for the March 2022 felony charge—Defendant was arrested for committing the June 7 and June 9, 2023 robbery offenses. Moreover, the Government showed that Defendant failed to comply with rules even while incarcerated. Among other infractions, he was found guilty of threatening corrections personnel, setting a fire in the jail, and disobeying orders of corrections officers. This history of repeated violations, coupled with Defendant's attempt to evade arrest, negates the likelihood that Defendant would cooperate with the probation office and comply with any conditions designed to ensure that he would not pose a danger or fail to appear if released.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that Defendant Brian Dorsey be DETAINED pending trial.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the 1 urpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: May 21, 2024

United Spates Magistrate Judge